

# Bounding slopes of $p$ -adic modular forms

Lawren Smithline

June 21, 2001

## Abstract

Let  $p$  be prime,  $N$  be a positive integer prime to  $p$ , and  $k$  be an integer. Let  $P_k(t)$  be the characteristic series for Atkin's  $U$  operator as an endomorphism of  $p$ -adic overconvergent modular forms of tame level  $N$  and weight  $k$ . Motivated by conjectures of Gouvêa and Mazur, we strengthen a congruence in [W] between coefficients of  $P_k$  and  $P_{k'}$  for  $k'$   $p$ -adically close to  $k$ . For  $p - 1 \mid 12$ ,  $N = 1$ ,  $k = 0$ , we compute a matrix for  $U$  whose entries are coefficients in the power series of a rational function of two variables. We apply this computation to show for  $p = 3$  a parabola below the Newton polygon  $\mathbf{N}_0$  of  $P_0$ , which coincides with  $\mathbf{N}_0$  infinitely often. As a consequence, we find a polygonal curve *above*  $\mathbf{N}_0$ . This tightest bound on  $\mathbf{N}_0$  yields the strongest congruences between coefficients of  $P_0$  and  $P_k$  for  $k$  of large 3-adic valuation.

## 1 Overview and background

Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $N$  be a positive integer relatively prime to  $p$ , and  $k$  be an integer. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -adic ring between  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $\mathcal{O}_p$ , the ring of integers in  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B)$  the  $p$ -adic overconvergent modular forms of tame level  $N$  and weight  $k$  and by  $\mathcal{S}_k(N, B)$  the subspace of overconvergent cusp forms.

For every weight  $k$ , Atkin's  $U$  operator is an endomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B)$  stabilizing  $\mathcal{S}_k(N, B)$ . Denote by  $U^{(k)}$  the restriction of  $U$  to  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B)$  and by  $U_{(k)}$  the restriction to  $\mathcal{S}_k(N, B)$ . These are compact operators, so the characteristic series

$$P_k(t) = \det(1 - tU^{(k)}), \quad Q_k(t) = \det(1 - tU_{(k)})$$

exist.

Let  $a_m(P_k)$  be the coefficient of  $t^m$  in  $P_k(t)$ . As a function on a suitably defined space of weights  $k$ ,  $a_m(P_k)$  is a rigid analytic function of  $k$ .

Wan[W], and Buzzard[B] construct  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$ , which grows as  $O(m^2)$  and depends on  $p$  and  $N$  and not on  $k$  such that  $v_p(a_m(P_k)) > \hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$ .

Gouvêa and Mazur[GM] note, in an earlier work, the existence of  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  and show, for prime  $p \geq 5$ , integer  $l$  and positive integer  $n$ ,

$$v_p(a_m(P_k) - a_m(P_{k+lp^n(p-1)})) \geq n + 1. \quad (1)$$

Following a remark in [Ka], the result in Equation (1) extends to  $p = 2, 3$ .

In section 2, we show

$$v_p(a_m(P_k) - a_m(P_{k+lp^n(p-1)})) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}(m-2) + n + 1. \quad (2)$$

In section 3, for each  $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$ ,  $N = 1$ , we construct a matrix  $M$  for  $U_{(0)}$  with respect to an explicit basis. We show, for  $M_{ij}$  the entries of  $M$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{ij} x^i y^j$$

is the power series expansion of a rational function of two variables.

In section 4, we show for  $p = 3$ ,

$$v_p(a_m(Q_0)) \geq 3 \binom{m}{2} + 2m,$$

with equality if and only if there is positive integer  $j$  such that  $m = (3^j - 1)/2$ . The secant segments joining these vertices of the Newton polygon  $\mathbf{N}'_0$  of  $Q_0$  form a polygonal curve *above*  $\mathbf{N}'_0$ . We find evidence in support of a conjecture in [G] on the distribution of slopes of classical modular forms.

## 1.1 Motivating conjectures

The zeros of  $P_k(t)$  are reciprocals of  $U^{(k)}$  eigenvalues. For rational number  $\alpha$ , let  $d(k, \alpha)$  denote the number of  $U^{(k)}$  eigenvalues with  $p$ -adic valuation  $\alpha$ .

**Conjecture 1.1 (Gouvêa-Mazur)** *Let  $k, l$  be integers,  $n$  be a positive integer, and  $\alpha < n$ . Then  $d(k, \alpha) = d(k + lp^n(p-1), \alpha)$ .*

Wan [W] uses Equation (1) and the construction of  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  to compute a quadratic concave up function  $f_{Wan}(n)$  such that the conclusion of Conjecture 1.1 holds for  $\alpha < f_{Wan}(n)$ .

The stronger congruence in Equation (2) together with the method of [W] shows there is quadratic  $f(n)$  with quadratic term smaller than that of  $f_{Wan}(n)$  such that the conclusion of Conjecture 1.1 holds for  $\alpha < f(n)$

**Conjecture 1.2 (Gouvêa)** *Let  $R_k$  be the multiset of slopes with multiplicity of classical  $p$ -oldforms in  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . The probability that an element of  $R_k$  chosen with uniform distribution is in the interval  $(\frac{k-1}{p+1}, \frac{p(k-1)}{p+1})$  diminishes to zero as  $k$  increases without bound.*

## 1.2 Spaces of overconvergent modular forms

For  $p \geq 5$ , let  $E_{p-1}$  be the level one Eisenstein series. Let  $M_k(N, B)$  be the classical weight  $k$  level  $N$  modular forms with coefficients in  $B$ .

**Proposition 1.3 (Katz)** *For  $p \geq 5$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(N, B)$ , there are  $b_j \in M_{k+j(p-1)}(N, B)$  for  $j \geq 0$  and  $r \in \mathcal{O}_p$  of positive valuation such that*

$$f = b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^j b_j / E_{p-1}^j, \quad (3)$$

*There is a distinguished choice of  $b_j$  after choosing  $r$  and direct sum decompositions*

$$M_{k+j(p-1)} = E_{p-1} \cdot M_{k+(j-1)(p-1)} \oplus W_{k+j(p-1)},$$

*such that  $b_j \in W_{k+j(p-1)}(N, B)$  for  $j > 0$ .*

See [Ka], Propositions 2.6.1 and 2.8.1. The parameter  $r$  is the *growth condition* and  $v_p(r)$  is bounded above by the given  $f$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B, r)$  be the space of modular forms with growth condition  $r$ . The space  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B)$  is  $\bigcup_{v_p(r) > 0} \mathcal{M}_k(N, B, r)$ .

**Remark 1.3.1** *For  $p = 3$ ,  $N > 2$  and prime to 3, Theorem 1.7.1 of [Ka] shows there is a level  $N$  lift of the characteristic 3 Hasse invariant, so an analogous expansion result holds. Proposition 2.8.2 of loc. cit. shows the expansion result for  $N = 2$ .*

**Proposition 1.4** *Suppose  $p = 2$  or 3 and  $N$  relatively prime to  $p$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(N, B)$  there are  $b_j \in M_{k+4j}(N, B)$  and  $r \in \mathcal{O}_p$  of positive valuation such that*

$$f = b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^{4j/(p-1)} b_j / E_4^j,$$

*There is a distinguished choice of  $b_j$  after choosing  $r$  and direct sum decompositions*

$$M_{k+4j} = E_4 \cdot M_{k+4(j-1)} \oplus W_{k+4j}(N, B),$$

*such that  $b_j \in W_{k+4j}(N, B)$  for  $j > 0$ .*

PROOF. We follow the remark at the end of Subsection 2.1 of loc. cit.. Let  $B$  be the fourth power of the Hasse invariant  $A$  for  $p = 2$  and the square of  $A$  for  $p = 3$ . In either case,  $B$  is a weight 4 level 1 modular form defined over  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . A version of Deligne's congruence holds:  $B \equiv E_4 \pmod{2^4}$  and  $B \equiv E_4 \pmod{3}$ .

For  $N > 2$ , and relatively prime to  $p$ , the functor “isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with level  $N$  structure” is representable by a scheme which is smooth over  $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$  and the formation of modular forms commutes with base change to a ring in which  $p$  is topologically nilpotent. So we repeat the construction of  $p$ -adic modular forms for  $p = 2, 3$  and Katz expansions with powers of  $r^{4/(p-1)} E_4^{-1}$ .

For  $p = 2, 3$  (and 5), and  $N = 1$ , Section 1.4 of [Se2] states weight zero forms have expansions in powers of  $\Delta E_4^{-3}$  where  $\Delta$  is the weight 12 level 1 cusp form. Coleman[C2] shows

$$E_k \cdot \mathcal{M}_0(N, B) = \mathcal{M}_k(N, B).$$

$M_k(N, B)$  is a free  $B$  module, so  $M_k(N, B) = E_4 \cdot M_{k-4}(N, B) \oplus W_k(N, B)$  for some  $W_k(N, B) \subset M_k(N, B)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5 (Coleman)** *Let  $k_1, k_2$  be weights. Let  $G(q) \in M_{k_1-k_2}(N, B)$ . Let  $\Xi$  be the operator multiplication by  $G(q)/G(q^p)$ . If  $1/G \in \mathcal{M}_{k_2-k_1}(N, B)$  then  $U^{(k_1)}$  is similar to  $U^{(k_2)}\Xi$ .*

**Remark 1.5.1** *The Eisenstein series satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.5.*

### 1.3 Notations for matrices and Newton Polygons

Let  $M$  be a matrix over a ring, possibly of infinite rank. Let  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. Let  $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_n)$  be a sequence of  $n$  distinct natural numbers.

The  $n \times n$  *diagonal major* of  $M$  associated to  $s$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  whose entry  $A_{ij}$  is  $M_{s_i, s_j}$ .

A *selection* of a  $M$  associated to  $s$  and degree  $n$  permutation  $\pi$  is a sequence of  $n$  elements,  $(M_{s_1, s_{\pi(1)}}, M_{s_2, s_{\pi(2)}}, \dots, M_{s_n, s_{\pi(n)}})$ .

The  $n \times n$  *diagonal minor* of  $M$  associated to  $s$  is the determinant of the  $n \times n$  diagonal major of  $M$  associated to  $s$ .

The *upper  $n \times n$  diagonal major* of  $M$  is the diagonal major associated to the sequence  $(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$ .

The diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(d_i : i \geq 1)$  is the matrix with entries  $D_{ii} = d_i$  and zero elsewhere.

The Newton polygon of power series  $P(t)$  is the function  $\mathbf{N}(m)$  which is the lower convex hull of the set  $(m, v_p(a_m(P)))$ , defined for real  $m \geq 0$ .

A vertex of the Newton polygon  $\mathbf{N}(m)$  is a point  $(m, \mathbf{N}(m))$  such that  $\mathbf{N}(m) = v_p(a_m(P))$ .

A side of a Newton polygon  $\mathbf{N}(m)$  is a line segment whose endpoints are vertices.

The slopes of a Newton polygon are the slopes of its sides.

The multiplicity of a slope is the difference of the first coordinates of its endpoints.

We denote by  $\mathbf{N}_k(m)$  the Newton polygon of  $P_k$ , and by  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m)$  a function such that  $\mathbf{N}_k(m) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m)$ . We indicate by  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  a function such that for all weights  $k$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_k(m) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m)$  the Newton polygon of  $Q_k$ , and by  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}'_k(m)$  a function such that  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_k(m)$ .

We state as Lemma 3.2 that if  $p-1 \mid 12$  and  $N = 1$ , then  $P_k(t) = (1-t)Q_k(t)$ . For these cases,  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m) = \mathbf{N}_k(m+1)$ .

## 2 Comparing Newton polygons for $U$ in different weights

Retain  $p, N, k$  as before, and let  $l$  be an integer and  $n$  be a positive integer. For  $p = 2$ , we require  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $k' = k + l(p-1)p^n$ . At the end of the section, we show there is a quadratic  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  such that

$$v_p(a_m(P_k) - a_m(P_{k'})) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}(m-2) + n + 1.$$

We now describe only the case  $p > 3$  for clarity. Section 1.2 reviews the differences for  $p = 2, 3$  from the case  $p > 3$ .

Let  $r = p^{1/(p+1)}$ . Choose a basis  $\{b_{0,s}\}$  for the module  $M_k(N, B)$ . For  $i > 0$ , choose a basis  $b_{i,s}$  for the module  $W_{k+i(p-1)}(N, B)$ .

Let  $e_{i,s} = r^i E_{p-1}^{-i} b_{i,s}$ . Let  $M$  be the matrix for  $U^{(k)}$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_{i,s}\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{N}_k(m)$  be the Newton polygon of  $P_k(t)$ .

Lemma 3.1 of [W] includes

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $M_{i,s}^{u,v}$  be the coefficient of  $e_{u,v}$  in  $U^{(k)}(e_{i,s})$ .*

*Then  $v_p(M_{i,s}^{u,v}) \geq u(p-1)/(p+1)$ .*

Let  $d_u = \dim M_{k+u(p-1)}(N, B) \otimes \mathbf{C}_p$ . For  $u > 0$ , let  $m_u = d_u - d_{u-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.2 (Wan)** *Let  $k$  be a weight. If  $d_v \leq m < d_{v+1}$  for some  $v \geq 0$ , then*

$$\mathbf{N}_k(m) \geq \frac{p-1}{p+1} \left( \sum_{u=0}^v u m_u + (v+1)(m - d_v) \right) - m. \quad (4)$$

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m)$  be the right side of Equation (4).*

The  $m_u$  have an upper bound, depending on  $p$  and  $N$ , so  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m)$  grows quadratically.

Wan shows  $\mathbf{N}_k(m) = \mathbf{N}_{k'}(m)$  when both are less than  $n+1$ .

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $A$  be the matrix for  $U^{(k)}$  with respect to basis  $\epsilon_{i,s} = r^{-i} e_{i,s}$ . Then*

$$v_p(A_{i,s}^{u,v}) \geq (up - i)/(p+1)$$

*and also at least zero.*

PROOF.  $U^{(k)}$  stabilizes  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B, 1)$ , as shown in [GM]. □

**Proposition 2.4**  $E_{p-1}^{p^n}(q)/E_{p-1}^{p^n}(q^p) \in 1 + p^{n+1}\mathcal{M}_0(1, \mathbf{Z}_p, 1)$ .

PROOF. In weight zero, the only  $\epsilon_{i,s}$  not 0 at the cusp  $\infty$  is the constant function 1. The  $q$ -expansion of  $(E_{p-1} - 1)/p$  is in  $q\mathbf{Z}[[q]]$ . □

**Theorem 2.5** *For  $k, k'$  as above,  $v_p(a_m(P_k) - a_m(P_{k'})) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m-2) + n + 1$ .*

PROOF. Let  $C$  be the matrix with respect to the basis  $\epsilon_{i,s}$  for multiplication by  $E_{p-1}^{p^n}(q)/E_{p-1}^{p^n}(q^p)$  considered as an operator on  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B, r)$ .

Let  $M^{(k')} = MC$ . By Theorem 1.5,  $M^{(k')}$  is a matrix for an operator similar to  $U^{(k')}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{k'}(N, B, r)$  and  $M^{(k')}$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}_k(N, B, r)$ .

By Proposition 2.4, the matrix  $C - 1$  is a matrix with entries in  $p^{n+1}B$ , so  $M - M^{(k')}$  has entries in  $p^{n+1}B$ .

The difference  $a_m(P_k) - a_m(P_{k'})$  is equal to

$$\text{tr} \bigwedge^m M - \text{tr} \bigwedge^m M^{(k')}.$$

These traces are the sums of all the different  $m \times m$  diagonal minors of  $M$  and  $M^{(k')}$ , so the difference contains terms (up to sign)

$$\prod_{i=1}^m M_{s_i, s_{\pi(i)}}^{(k')} - \prod_{i=1}^m M_{s_i, s_{\pi(i)}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $s$  is a sequence of  $m$  integers,  $\pi$  is a permutation of degree  $m$ .

Let

$$Z = \prod_{i=1}^m (z_i + w_i) - \prod_{i=1}^m (z_i), \quad (6)$$

where  $z_i \in B$  and  $w_i \in p^{n+1}B$ , be instance of equation (5).

The sequence  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)$  is a selection of  $M$ . By Lemma 2.3, the product of any  $m - j$  of them has valuation at least  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m - 2j)$ . The product of any  $j$  of the  $w_i$  has valuation at least  $j(n + 1)$ .

Rewrite (6) as

$$Z = \sum_{\emptyset \neq s \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}} \prod_{i \in s} w_i \prod_{i \notin s} z_i. \quad (7)$$

For any subset  $s$  of size  $j$ ,

$$v_p\left(\prod_{i \in s} w_i \prod_{i \notin s} z_i\right) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m - 2j) + j(n + 1).$$

The set  $s$  is nonempty, so,

$$v_p(Z) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m - 2) + n + 1,$$

for every instance of Equation (6). □

**Corollary 2.5.1** *There is a quadratic  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  independent of  $k$  such that the conclusion of Theorem 2.5 holds.*

PROOF. Given  $p, N$ , Wan[W] shows there are finitely many different  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(m)$ . Let  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  be the infimum of them. □

### 3 Computing tame level 1 $U$ for $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$

Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $X_0(p)$  has genus 0, that is,  $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$  and  $N = 1$ . We show how to compute  $U_{(0)}$  with respect to an explicit basis.

The curve  $X_0(p)$  has a uniformizer

$$d_p = \sqrt[p-1]{\Delta(q^p)/\Delta(q)}$$

with simple zero at the cusp  $\infty$ , pole at the cusp  $0$ , and leading  $q$  expansion coefficient 1.

Let  $\pi : X_0(p) \rightarrow X_0(1)$  be the map which ignores level  $p$  structure. Let  $\hat{j} = \pi^*(j)$ . The map  $\pi$  is ramified above  $j = 0, 1728, \infty$  only.

**Proposition 3.1** *There is a degree  $p + 1$  polynomial  $H_p$  over  $\mathbf{Z}$  with constant term 1 such that*

$$d_p \hat{j} = H_p(d_p).$$

PROOF. The map  $\pi$  has degree  $p + 1$ . The product  $d_p \hat{j}$  has a pole only at the cusp  $0$ . Hence, there is a polynomial  $H_p$  satisfying the proposition.

$H_p$  has integer coefficients, because the  $q$ -expansion of  $d_p \hat{j}$  at  $\infty$  is in  $1 + q\mathbf{Z}[[q]]$   $\square$

**Remark 3.1.1** *The ramification degrees of  $\pi$  over  $j = 0$  are 1 and 3, yielding roots of multiplicity 1 or 3 of  $H_p(d_p)$ . Points over  $j = 1728$  are roots of multiplicity 1 or 2 of  $H_p(d_p) - 1728d_p$ . We calculate  $H_p$  by equating  $q$ -expansions.*

**Lemma 3.2**  $P_k(t) = (1 - t)Q_k(t)$

PROOF.  $X_0(p)$  has genus 0, so the only weight zero noncuspidal eigenforms are constants and the eigenvalue is 1. By a theorem of [H], or as a consequence of Theorem 1.5, in every weight  $k$ ,  $d(k, 0) = 1$  and a slope zero eigenform is noncuspidal.  $\square$

Let  $t_2 = 4$ ,  $t_3 = 3$ . For  $p \geq 5$ , let  $t_p = 1$ .

Let  $c_2 = 0$ ,  $c_3 = 1728$ ,  $c_5 = 0$ ,  $c_7 = 1728$ , and  $c_{13} = 432000/691$ .

Let  $e = 12/(p^2 - 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *The Newton polygon of  $H_p(d_p) - c_p d_p$ , as a polynomial in  $d_p$ , has a single side of slope  $ep$ .*

**Lemma 3.4** *The weight 12 power of  $E_{t_p(p-1)}$  is  $(j - c_p)\Delta$ .*

The lemmas are direct computations.

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $r < p/(p + 1)$ . The disc  $D = \{z : z \in X_0(1), v_p(E_{t_p(p-1)}(z)) < t_p r\}$  is isomorphic to  $\{z : z \in X_0(p), v_p(d_p(z)) > -er(p + 1)\}$ .*

PROOF. When  $z \in X_0(1)$  is a point of supersingular reduction,  $\Delta(z)$  is a unit. At a point of ordinary reduction,  $E_{t_p(p-1)}(z)$  is a unit and  $v_p(\Delta(z)) \geq 0$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $D = \{z : v_p(j(z) - c_p) < er(p+1)\}$ .

Lemma 3.3 shows the relation  $(\hat{j} - c_p)d_p = H_p - c_p d_p$  is uniquely invertible for  $d_p$  such that  $v_p(d_p(z)) > -er(p+1)$ , establishing the isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.1**  $\mathcal{S}_0(1, \mathbf{Z}_p) \subset d_p \mathbf{Z}_p[[d_p]]$ .  $U_{(0)}$  acts as a matrix  $M$  on a basis of powers of  $d_p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the rigid subspace of  $X_0(p)$  where  $v_p(\pi^*(E_{t_p(p-1)})) < t_p/(p+1)$ . The section  $s$  of  $\pi$  over  $\pi(\mathcal{W})$  such that for elliptic curve  $E$ ,  $s(E)$  is the pair  $(E, C)$  for  $C$  the canonical order  $p$  subgroup of  $E$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $V$  be the pullback of  $\phi$ , the Deligne-Tate lift of Frobenius on  $X_0(1)/\mathbf{F}_p$ . Let  $w_p$  be the Atkin-Lehner involution on  $X_0(p)$ .

**Lemma 3.6** For points of  $\mathcal{W}$ ,

$$V(j) \circ \pi = \hat{j} \circ w_p.$$

PROOF. The Atkin-Lehner involution acts as

$$w_p : (E, C) \rightarrow (E/C, E[p]/C).$$

$E$  has a canonical subgroup of order  $p$ , and

$$V : E \rightarrow E / \ker \phi^*,$$

coincides with  $s^* \circ w_p^* \circ \pi^*$ .  $\square$

We identify  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $\pi(\mathcal{W})$  via section  $s$ .

**Proposition 3.7** For points of  $\mathcal{W}$ ,

$$H_p(p^{12/(1-p)}/d_p)V(d_p) - p^{12/(1-p)}H_p(V(d_p)/d_p) = 0. \quad (8)$$

PROOF. The modular equation

$$H_p(w_p^*(d_p))V(d_p) = H_p(V(d_p))w_p^*(d_p)$$

holds on  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $w_p(d_p) = (p^{12/(1-p)}/d_p)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8** There is an algebraic function  $I_p(y, x)$  and a matrix  $M$  for  $U_{(0)}$  with respect to the basis  $d_p^n$  such that entries  $M_{ij}$  satisfy a generating function equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M_{ij} x^i y^j = \frac{y}{p} \frac{d}{dy} \log I_p(x, y). \quad (9)$$



PROOF. Clear denominators and factor  $V(d_p) - w_p^*(d_p)$  from Equation (8) to determine an algebraic relation

$$d_p^p I_p(V(d_p), 1/d_p)$$

between  $d_p$  and  $V(d_p)$ , of degree  $p$  in  $d_p$ . The inverse of  $V$  applied to coefficient of  $d_p^{p-1}$  is  $\text{tr } V(d_p) = pU(d_p)$ .

The values of  $U(d_p^n)$  for  $n = 0$  to  $p - 1$  and the coefficients of  $I_p$  determine a recurrence for  $U(d_p^n)$  for  $n \geq p$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.1** *The  $I_p(x, y)$  for  $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$  are*

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 1 - (2^{12}x^2 + 3 \cdot 2^4x)y - xy^2, \\ I_3 &= 1 - (3^{12}x^3 + 4 \cdot 3^8x^2 + 10 \cdot 3^3x)y - (3^6x^2 + 4 \cdot 3^2x)y^2 - xy^3, \\ I_5 &= 1 - (5^{12}x^5 + 6 \cdot 5^{10}x^4 + 63 \cdot 5^7x^3 + 52 \cdot 5^5x^2 + 63 \cdot 5^2x)y \\ &\quad - (5^9x^4 + 6 \cdot 5^7x^3 + 63 \cdot 5^4x^2 + 52 \cdot 5^2x)y^2 \\ &\quad - (5^6x^3 + 6 \cdot 5^4x^2 + 63 \cdot 5x)y^3 - (5^3x^2 + 6 \cdot 5x)y^4 - xy^5, \\ I_7 &= 1 - (7^{12}x^7 + 4 \cdot 7^{11}x^6 + 46 \cdot 7^9x^5 + 272 \cdot 7^7x^4 + \\ &\quad 845 \cdot 7^5x^3 + 176 \cdot 7^2x^2 + 82 \cdot 7x)y - \dots - xy^7, \\ I_{13} &= 1 - (13^{12}x^{13} + 2 \cdot 13^{12}x^{12} + 25 \cdot 13^{11}x^{11} + 196 \cdot 13^{10}x^{10} + \\ &\quad 1064 \cdot 13^9x^9 + 4180 \cdot 13^8x^8 + 12086 \cdot 13^7x^7 + \\ &\quad 25660 \cdot 13^6x^6 + 39182 \cdot 13^5x^5 + 41140 \cdot 13^4x^4 + \\ &\quad 27272 \cdot 13^3x^3 + 9604 \cdot 13^2x^2 + 1165 \cdot 13x)y - \dots - xy^{13}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.9** *The  $p$ -adic valuation of  $M_{ij}$  is at least  $e(pi - j) - 1$ . There is a parabola  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$  with quadratic coefficient  $6/(p+1)$  such that  $\mathbf{N}_0(m) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}(m)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M'_{ij} = p^{e(j-i)}M_{ij}$ . The matrix  $(M'_{ij})$  is similar to  $(M_{ij})$ . Theorem 3.8 shows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M'_{ij} x^i y^j = \frac{y}{p} \frac{d}{dy} \log I_p(p^{-e}x, p^e y). \quad (10)$$

Direct calculation shows  $I_p(p^{-e}x, p^e y)$ , for the  $I_p$  displayed in Remark 3.8.1, is a polynomial in  $p^{e(p-1)}x$  and  $y$  with integer coefficients. Hence,  $v_p(M'_{ij}) \geq i \cdot e(p-1)$ .  $\square$

### 3.1 Tame level 1 and $p = 2$ or $3$

Emerton[E] calculates the lowest positive slope 2-adic modular forms of every weight. Concise expressions for the  $q$ -expansions of a few forms facilitate computation.

Serre[Se] observes that for a compact operator  $M$  expressed as a matrix on a basis of a Banach space, if  $c_i$  is the infimum of the valuations of column  $i$  of  $M$ , then  $\text{tr } (\wedge^n M)$  has valuation at least the sum of the  $n$  smallest  $c_i$ .

**Proposition 3.10** *For  $p = 2$  and even weight  $k$ , there is an  $\mathcal{O}_2$  basis  $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_k(1, \mathcal{O}_2)$  such that the image of  $U_{(k)}$  is a subset of  $\bigoplus 8^n e_n \mathcal{O}_2$ .*

PROOF. This is a rewriting of Proposition 3.21 of [E] in language amenable to the noted observation of Serre. The basis element  $e_n$  is  $F_k d_2^n$  for a certain weight  $k$  form  $F$ .  $\square$

Recall  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m)$  is the Newton polygon of  $Q_k(t)$ .

**Corollary 3.10.1**  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m) \geq 3 \binom{m+1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 3.11** *Suppose  $p = 3$ . Let  $S = \sqrt[8]{\Delta^3/V(\Delta)}$ .  $S^2$  is in  $\mathcal{M}_6(1, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  and does not vanish at the cusp  $\infty$ . The quotient  $S/V(S)$  is in  $M_0(1, \mathcal{O}_3, 3/2)$  and as a power series in  $Z[[d_3]]$ ,  $S/V(S) - 1$  is in the ideal  $(9d_3, 27d_3^2)$ .*

PROOF. Direct calculation and comparison of  $q$  expansions shows  $S$  is the Eisenstein series for level 3, weight 3 and character  $\tau$ , the 3-adic Teichmüller character.  $S^2$  is a level 3 weight 6 classical modular form and thus a tame level 1 weight 6 overconvergent modular form.

The curve  $X_0(9)$  has genus zero and uniformizer

$$d_9 = \sqrt[8]{V(V(\Delta))/\Delta}.$$

The ramification of the forgetful map to  $X_0(3)$  shows

$$d_3 = d_9 + 9d_9^2 + 27d_9^3.$$

Reversal of this relation between  $d_3$  and  $d_9$  and the observation

$$S/V(S) = d_9/d_3$$

shows  $S/V(S)$  is in  $M_0(1, \mathcal{O}_3, 3/2)$ , has constant term 1, and  $S/V(S) - 1 \in (9d_3, 27d_3) \subset Z[[d_3]]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12** *For  $p = 3$  and even weight  $k$  divisible by 3,  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m) \geq 3 \binom{m}{2}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $R$  be the multiplication by  $(S/V(S))^{k/3}$  operator. Theorem 1.5 shows the composition  $U_{(0)}R$  is similar to  $U_{(k)}$ . Lemma 3.11 shows the conclusions of Proposition 3.9 hold for  $U_{(0)}R$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Further example for $p = 3, N = 1, k = 0$ .

Let  $p = 3, N = 1$  and

$$\hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m) = \frac{3}{2}m(m-1) + 2m.$$

We work an example of Proposition 3.9.

**Lemma 3.13**  $\mathbf{N}'_0(m) \geq \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m)$ .

PROOF. Recall  $e = 3/2$ . Equation (10) shows

$$3 \sum_{i,j} M'_{ij} x^i y^j = \frac{9(10xy + 8\sqrt{3}xy^2 + 3xy^3) + 3^5(4\sqrt{3}x^2y + 2x^2y^2) + 3^8x^3y}{1 - 3^3(10xy + 4\sqrt{3}xy^2 + xy^3) - 3^6(4\sqrt{3}x^2y + x^2y^2) - 3^9x^3y}. \quad (11)$$

Following the last step of Proposition 3.9, substitute  $\delta = 3^3x$  into the right side of Equation (11) to get

$$G(\delta, y) = \frac{10\delta y + 8\sqrt{3}\delta y^2 + 3\delta y^3 + 4\sqrt{3}\delta^2 y + 2\delta^2 y^2 + \delta^3 y}{1 - 10\delta y - 4\sqrt{3}(\delta y^2 + \delta^2 y) - (\delta y^3 + \delta^2 y^2 + \delta^3 y)}. \quad (12)$$

The valuation of  $M'_{ij}$  is at least  $i \cdot e(p-1) - 1 = 3i - 1$ . So

$$\mathbf{N}'_0(m) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m 3i - 1 = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m).$$

□

## 4 For $p = 3, N = 1, \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0$ is a sharp parabola below $\mathbf{N}'_0$

Let  $p = 3$  and  $N = 1$  and

$$m_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 3^j = \frac{3^i - 1}{2}.$$

**Theorem 4.1** *The set  $E = \{m : m \in \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{N}'_0(m) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m)\}$  is the same as  $\{m_i : i \geq 0\}$ .*

PROOF. We show for all  $m \geq 0$ , that  $m \in E$  if and only if  $(m-1)/3 \in E$ .

The leading coefficient of  $P_0$  is 1, so  $0 \in E$ .

Let  $M'$  be the matrix for  $U_{(0)}$  with respect to basis  $\{3^{3m/2}d^m\}$ .

Lemma 3.13 shows  $M'_{ij}$  has valuation at least  $3i - 1$ , so there is a matrix  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$  and diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(3^{3i-1})$  such that  $M' = DK$ .

Let  $\bar{K} = K \bmod \sqrt{3}\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$  and let  $c_m(\bar{K})$  be its upper  $m \times m$  diagonal minor.

Every  $m \times m$  diagonal minor of  $M'$  has valuation at least  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m)$  and the inequality is strict except for the upper  $m \times m$  diagonal minor. So we have reduced the theorem to showing that  $m \in E$  if and only if  $c_m(\bar{K}) \neq 0$ .

Call a degree  $m$  permutation  $\pi$  *excellent* if the selection of  $\bar{K}$  associated to  $(1, 2, \dots, m)$  and  $\pi$  is a sequence of nonzero entries of  $\bar{K}$ .

**Claim 1.** If there is a degree  $m$  excellent  $\pi$ , then  $m = m_i$  for some  $i$ .

We establish Claim 1 by induction. The trivial degree 0 permutation is excellent.

The entries of  $K$  satisfy a linear recurrence. Equation (12) with  $x$  substituted for  $\delta$  is

$$G(x, y) = \frac{10xy + 4\sqrt{3}xy(x + 2y) + xy(x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2)}{1 - xy(10 + 4\sqrt{3}(x + y) + x^2 + xy + y^2)}.$$

The coefficient of  $x^i y^j$  is the entry of  $K$  in row  $i$  and column  $j$ .

Let  $\bar{G}$  be the generating function for entries of  $\bar{K}$ .  $\bar{G}$  is the reduction of  $G$  to  $\mathbf{F}_3[[x, y]]$ .

Let

$$R(i) = (1 + (xy + x^3y + x^2y^2 + xy^3) + (xy + x^3y + x^2y^2 + xy^3)^2)^{3^i},$$

and

$$\bar{G}_0(x, y) = xy(1 - xy + y^2).$$

Let

$$\bar{G}_j = \bar{G}_0 \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} R(i)$$

and

$$\bar{C}_j = \prod_{i=j}^{\infty} R(i).$$

For all nonnegative integers  $j$ ,  $\bar{C}_j^3 = \bar{C}_{j+1}$  and  $\bar{G} = \bar{G}_j \bar{C}_j$ .

By direct computation,

$$\bar{G}_1 = (x^{-1}y + 1 - xy^{-1} + y^{-2})\bar{G}_0^3 + xy + x^2y^4 + x^6y^2, \quad (13)$$

and so

$$\bar{G} = (x^{-1}y + 1 - xy^{-1} + y^{-2})\bar{G}^3 + (xy + x^2y^4 + x^6y^2)\bar{C}_1. \quad (14)$$

Equation (14) shows the coefficient of  $x^i y^{3j}$  in  $\bar{G}$  is the same as the coefficient of  $x^i y^{3j}$  in  $\bar{G}^3$ . This coefficient is zero if  $i$  is not divisible by 3.

Suppose degree  $m$  permutation  $\pi$  is excellent. The only unit in row 1 is in column 1, so  $\pi(1) = 1$ . The functions

$$\sigma(i) = \pi(3i)/3, \quad \sigma'(i) = (\pi(3i - 1) - 1)/3, \quad \sigma''(i) = (\pi(3i + 1) + 1)/3 \quad (15)$$

are excellent degree  $\lfloor m/3 \rfloor$  permutations, and  $3 \mid (m - 1)$ .

The inductive step is complete.

**Claim 2.** For any  $m_i$ , there is a unique degree  $m_i$  excellent  $\pi$ .

We proceed by induction. The unique degree 0 permutation is excellent.

Equation (14) shows for excellent degree  $\frac{m-1}{3}$  permutations  $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''$ , there is an excellent degree  $m$  permutation  $\pi$ , computed by reversing Equations (15).

If there is a unique degree  $(m-1)/3$  excellent  $\sigma$ , then there is a unique degree  $m$  excellent  $\pi$ . Claim 2 is established.

Claim 1 shows for  $m$  not equal to any  $m_i$ , that  $c_m(\bar{K}) = 0$ . Claim 2 shows for each  $m_i$ , there is a unique selection of the upper  $m_i \times m_i$  diagonal major of  $\bar{K}$  which contributes a nonzero term to  $c_{m_i}(\bar{K})$ . Hence,  $c_m(\bar{K}) \neq 0$  if and only if there is  $i$  such that  $m = m_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.1** *Let  $L$  be the secant line such that  $L(m_i) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_i)$  and  $L(m_{i+1}) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_{i+1})$ . If  $m$  is such that  $m_i < m < m_{i+1}$ , then*

$$\hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m) < \mathbf{N}'_0(m) \leq L(m).$$

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $l$  be an integer,  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. Let  $k = 2 \cdot 3^{n+1} \cdot l$ . Let  $s$  be an integer,  $0 \leq s < 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ . If  $\mathbf{N}'_0(s) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(s)$ , then  $\mathbf{N}'_k(s) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(s)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $R = (S/V(S))^{k/3}$ . The binomial theorem shows the coefficient of  $d_3^m$  in  $R$  has valuation at least  $\lceil 3m/2 \rceil + n - v_3(m)$ .

Let  $C$  be the matrix for the multiplication by  $R$  operator on  $\mathcal{S}_0(1, \emptyset_p)$  with respect to the basis  $\{3^{3m/2}d^m\}$ .

Let  $M'$  be the matrix for  $U_{(0)}$  with respect to the same basis.

By Theorem 1.5,  $M'C$  is similar to a matrix for  $U_{(k)}$ .

For all  $i, j$ ,  $v_3(M'_{ij}) \geq 3i - 1$ . For  $i > 3j$  or  $j > 3i$ ,  $M'_{ij} = 0$ .

For all  $j > 0$ ,  $C_{jj} = 1$ . For  $j, m > 0$ ,  $v_3(C_{j+m,j}) \geq n - v_3(m)$  and  $C_{j,j+m} = 0$ .

For odd  $m$ , including  $m = 3^{n-1}$ ,  $v_3(C_{j+m,j}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

For all  $i$ ,  $v_3(M'_{ij} - (M'C)_{ij}) \geq 3i - 1$ .

For  $i \leq s$ ,  $v_3(M'_{ij} - (M'C)_{ij}) > 3i - 1$ , because

$$(M'C)_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^{3i} M'_{ik} C_{kj},$$

and  $3i \leq 3s < 2 \cdot 3^n$ .

If  $\mathbf{N}'_0(s) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(s)$  then  $\mathbf{N}'_k(s) = \mathbf{N}'_0(s)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.1** *Let  $l$  be an integer and  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. Let  $k = 2 \cdot 3^{n+1} \cdot l$ .*

*For integer  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i < n - 1$ , there are exactly  $3^i$  overconvergent 3-adic modular forms of weight  $k$  with slope in  $[m_{i+1} + 1, m_{i+2} - 2]$ , and these have average slope  $3^{i+1} - 1$ .*

PROOF. By Proposition 4.2,  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m_i) = \mathbf{N}'_0(m_i)$  and  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m_{i+1}) = \mathbf{N}'_0(m_{i+1})$ . There are  $3^i = m_{i+1} - m_i$  slopes with multiplicity accounted for by the edges joining these vertices of the Newton polygon  $\mathbf{N}'_k$ . The difference  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m_{i+1}) - \mathbf{N}'_k(m_i)$  is  $3^i(3^{i+1} - 1)$ .

The average slope is  $3^{i+1} - 1$ . The minimum of these slopes is at least  $3m_i + 2$  and the maximum at most  $3m_{i+1} - 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.2** *Let  $k$  be an even integer and  $i$  be a positive integer. If*

$$v_3(k) \geq [\hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_{i+1}) + \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_i)]/2 - \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0((m_{i+1} + m_i)/2) + i + 2,$$

*then for  $m \leq m_{i+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}'_0(m) = \mathbf{N}'_k(m)$ .*

PROOF. The Newton polygons  $\mathbf{N}'_0$  and  $\mathbf{N}'_k$  both have vertices  $(m_i, \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_i))$  and  $(m_{i+1}, \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_{i+1}))$ .

By Corollary 4.1.1 and Theorem 2.5,  $v_3(a_m(P_k)) = v_3(a_m(P_0))$  for every  $m$  between  $m_i$  and  $m_{i+1}$ .  $\square$

Affirming a pattern noticed by Gouvêa[G],

**Corollary 4.2.3** *Let  $k = 2 \cdot 3^{n+1}$ . The classical weight  $k$  level 3 oldforms have slopes outside  $[k/4, 3k/4]$ .*

PROOF. There are  $m_n = \frac{k}{12} - \frac{1}{2}$  cuspidal level 1 normalized eigenforms. There are  $2m_i + 2$  classical level 3 oldforms, and one pair of these comes from the weight  $k$  Eisenstein series. The slopes of the forms in this pair are 0 and  $k - 1$ .

By Proposition 4.2,  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m_n) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_n)$ , because  $m_n < 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ .

The slope  $\mathbf{N}'_k(m_n) - \mathbf{N}'_k(m_n - 1)$  is less than

$$\hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_n) - \hat{\mathbf{N}}'_0(m_n - 1) = 3m_n - 1 = \frac{k}{4} - 1.$$

The mates of these  $m_i$  oldforms have slopes greater than  $\frac{3k}{4}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [B] K. Buzzard, *Families of modular forms*. Proceedings of Journée Arithmétique 2000.
- [C] R. Coleman, Classical and overconvergent modular forms. *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* 7 (1995) 333-365.
- [C2] R. Coleman, *p-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms*. *Inv. Math.* 127 (1997) 417-479.
- [E] M. Emerton, *2-adic Modular forms of minimal slope*. Doctoral thesis, Harvard University, 1998.
- [G] F. Gouvêa, *Where the slopes are*. Unpublished notes, 1999.
- [GM] F. Gouvêa and B. Mazur, *On the characteristic series of the  $U$  operator*. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 43 (1993) 301-312.
- [H] H. Hida, *Elementary theory of  $L$ -functions and Eisenstein series*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [Ka] N. Katz, *p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 350 (1972) 69-190.

- [Se] J. P. Serre, *Endomorphismes complètement continues des espaces de Banach  $p$ -adique*, Publ. Math. IHES 12 (1962), 69–85.
- [Se2] J. P. Serre, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta  $p$ -adiques*. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 350 (1972) 191–268.
- [W] D. Wan, *Dimension Variation of Classical and  $p$ -adic Modular Forms*. Inv. Math. 133 (1998) 449–463.

Department of Mathematics  
Malott Hall  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853  
USA

`lawren@math.cornell.edu`